

Preparing for the great refresh

As company's look to refresh their boards in 2024, what should prospective candidates know about the companies on whose board they are being asked to serve.



Image courtesy Financial Times.

Boards are heading for what I describe as *the great refresh*. The Companies Act 2013, which became operative from 2014, mainly defined independence for independent directors as being on the board for a maximum of 10 years. As this came with a concession that this 10-year clock will tick only from 2014 – all the prior year's being expunged, 2024 is when most boards are now due for a major makeover.

Given this impending change, I expect a few companies – atleast the progressive ones, may have started hiring new directors or atleast begun their search; it is clunky if all independent directors retire all at once, to say nothing that these companies will be left with very little institutional memory.

And while the years since the new Act was rolled out, there is a great deal of focus on board effectiveness, board evaluation and also on the skills needed to be a



good director, very little attention has been directed towards how potential directors should think about being invited to join a board.

It is in this context that a <u>blog</u> by Sara Brody And Jason T. Nichol, two lawyers at Sidley, Austin, makes helpful reading. They argue that in an increasingly "regulated and complex public company landscape, director candidates should conduct thoughtful and targeted due diligence on a company and its existing board practices before committing to a role that should be expected to extend over multiple years." The two then list a series of questions that potential board members must ask before committing themselves to serving on a board. These are discussed below.

The starting point is for a prospective board candidate must be clear why are they being asked to join the board and whether they are the right fit. All too often, it is hard not to believe that that we walk on water and have the requisite skills. But it is good to be clear-eyed about why you are being invited to join the board. Do you have domain knowledge? Or the ability to open doors? Are you a friend of the promoter – or one of the other directors? Or do you tick some other box. Companies do issue a letter regarding the roles and responsibilities of joining a board, but a conversation with the chairman will help. It will bring clarity regarding know why the candidate has been invited and how they are likely to complement others on the board.

Closely linked to this is whether as a director you will have the sufficient time. Only the director can answer this, but a rough rule of thumb is the number of meetings in a year, multiplied by the time spent on reading and absorbing the agenda. Add committee meetings. This is discussed in detail in 'When less is more' my March column from earlier this year (https://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/when-less-is-more-122031501522_1.html). And this is for when its business-as-usual. If there is a large transaction, an approaching IPO, or a crisis, the time-commitment will intensify. But even in normal circumstances, given how rapidly the burden of expectations from directors, is rising, one should expect to double the time commitment over a five-year period. Put differently, there will be time for being on fewer boards.

Peter Drucker is said to have remarked, "Culture eats strategy for breakfast," signalling that the softer aspects of business are important. Given that businesses are (usually) family owned, having a reading of the family dynamics and cross-shareholding is important. These often enough will determine the path a company will take. The pre-existing board dynamics also



come into play, which means understanding who all on the board and who do they represent. The board culture will determine whether the board encourages penetrating questions, and the discussion is open, does the board get access to unfettered information or whether decisions are taken by consensus or diktat. This helps in determining whether the leadership seek guidance from the board or instead as the blog succinctly described it, "view the board as a group to be managed."

There is the deep dive into the company and its operations. Potential candidates are expected to examine how the company is performing operationally and financially and what are the company's most material risks. This means reviewing the company's financial documents, outstanding litigations, and public filings (e.g., the "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis" sections of its periodic filings and registration statements) as well as analyst reports, news articles and consensus or "street" estimates. It will also be prudent to review the 'promoters' other business interests.

It is useful to look at resolutions placed before shareholders, how these have been voted on in the past, and how has the company dealt with shareholder dissent.

It is equally important to the get a sense of the flow of information to the board and a large part of this is the equation between the board and senior management. Unfettered access to data and to senior management will help boards make their own assessment, and also gives board members the ability to form an opinion on the quality of people. Important for those seeing themselves being a part of the Nomination and Remuneration Committee. This will also give the entrant make a direct call on the company's attitude to compliance and risk.

Companies now routinely disclose how they view conflict of interest, and the expectation from directors; it will be helpful to have a conversation regarding this. Infact, not just these, but also the other policies including the code of ethics, securities trading policies, restrictions on what you can and cannot do by virtue of joining a board.

Given the burden of expectations, potential directors must ask about the compensation and the D&O (Directors and officers) insurance, that the company offers.



Being clear about your own capabilities and spending time seeking answers to the questions listed above, will bring you the must needed clarity regarding whether you should join the board.



A modified version of this blog first appeared in Business Standard on 24 May 2022. To read the blog click this <u>link</u> or copy this url to your browser: https://www.business-standard.com/article/opinion/preparing-for-the-great-refresh-122071801427_1.html



Disclaimer

This document has been prepared by Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS). The information contained herein is solely from publicly available data, but we do not represent that it is accurate or complete and it should not be relied on as such. IiAS shall not be in any way responsible for any loss or damage that may arise to any person from any inadvertent error in the information contained in this report. This document is provided for assistance only and is not intended to be and must not be taken as the basis for any voting or investment decision and/or construed as legal opinion/advice. The user assumes the entire risk of any use made of this information. Each recipient of this document should make such investigation as it deems necessary to arrive at an independent evaluation of the individual resolutions referred to in this document (including the merits and risks involved). The discussions or views expressed may not be suitable for all investors. The information given in this document is as of the date of this report and there can be no assurance that future results or events will be consistent with this information. This information is subject to change without any prior notice. IiAS reserves the right to make modifications and alterations to this statement as may be required from time to time. However, IiAS is under no obligation to update or keep the information current. Nevertheless, IiAS would be happy to provide any information in response to specific queries. No copyright infringement is intended in the preparation of this document. Neither IiAS nor any of its affiliates, group companies, directors, employees, agents or representatives shall be liable for any damages whether direct, indirect, special or consequential including lost revenue or lost profits that may arise from or in connection with the use of the information. This report may cover listed companies (the 'subject companies'); IiAS may hold a nominal number of shares in some of the subject companies to the extent disclosed on its website and/or these companies might have subscribed to IiAS' services or might be shareholders of IiAS. IiAS, its research analyst(s) and their associates or relatives, do not have any financial interest of one per cent or more in any of the subject companies. The disclosures of interest statements incorporated in this document are provided solely to enhance the transparency and should not be treated as endorsement of the views expressed in the report.

Confidentiality

This information is strictly confidential and is being furnished to you solely for your information. This information should not be reproduced or redistributed or passed on directly or indirectly in any form to any other person or published, copied, in whole or in part, for any purpose. This report is not directed or intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction, where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law, regulation or which would subject IiAS to any registration or licensing requirements within such jurisdiction. The distribution of this document in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law, and persons in whose possession this document comes, should inform themselves about and observe, any such restrictions. The information provided in these reports remains, unless otherwise stated, the copyright of IiAS. All layout, design, original artwork, concepts and other Intellectual Properties, remains the property and copyright of IiAS and may not be used in any form or for any purpose whatsoever by any party without the express written permission of the copyright holders.

Other Disclosures

IiAS is a SEBI registered entity (proxy advisor registration number: INH000000024) dedicated to providing participants in the Indian market with independent opinions, research and data on corporate governance issues as well as voting recommendations on shareholder resolutions of about 800 listed Indian companies (https://www.iiasadvisory.com/iias-coverage-list). Our products and services include voting advisory reports, standardized services under the Indian Corporate Governance Scorecard, and databases (www.iiasadrian.com and www.iiascompayre.com). There are no significant or material orders passed against the company by any of the Regulators or Courts/Tribunals.

The article is a commentary on general trends/developments in the capital market.



About IiAS

Institutional Investor Advisory Services India Limited (IiAS) is an advisory firm, dedicated to providing participants in the Indian market with independent opinions, research and data on corporate governance issues as well as voting recommendations on shareholder resolutions for about 900 companies that account for over 95% of market capitalization.

IiAS provides bespoke research on governance, ESG, and benchmark governance practices, assists institutions in their engagement with company managements and their boards and offers a cloud base repository of resolutions and voting outcomes, institutional voting and voting rationales, www.iiasadrian.com

IiAS has equity participation by Aditya Birla Sunlife AMC Limited, Axis Bank Limited, Fitch Group Inc., HDFC Investments Limited, ICICI Prudential Life Insurance Company Limited, Kotak Mahindra Bank Limited, RBL Bank Limited, Tata Investment Corporation Limited, UTI Asset Management Company Limited and Yes Bank Limited.

IiAS is a SEBI registered entity (proxy advisor registration number: INH000000024.